



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,380	03/23/2004	Dong-gyun Ra	6192.0355.US	1827

32605 7590 10/03/2007
MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP
2033 GATEWAY PLACE
SUITE 400
SAN JOSE, CA 95110

EXAMINER

CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2629

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/03/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/806,380

Applicant(s)

RA, DONG-GYUN

Examiner

Afroza Y. Chowdhury

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on **August 8, 2007** has been entered. Claims 1-16 are currently pending. Applicant's newly added claims and arguments are addressed herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1–8, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2002/0057247).

As to claim 1, 4, and 8, Lee et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a liquid crystal module including a liquid crystal panel, a gate driving unit (fig. 3(200)) for delivering scanning signals to the liquid crystal panel, and a data driving unit [fig. 3(300)] for delivering image signals to the liquid crystal panel;

a timing controller (fig. 6(100)) for providing timing signal used to control display of the liquid crystal module (page 4, [0099] – [0100], page 5, [0121] – [0122]);

Art Unit: 2629

a mode setting unit (fig.6(400, 520)) for outputting a control signal according to a selected display mode (page 1, [0020], pages 4-5, [0100] – [0102], [0105]);

an inverter control unit (fig. 6(510)) for selectively outputting the timing signal received from the timing controller according to the control signal from the mode setting unit;

an inverter (fig. 6(700)) which is operated in synchronous mode when the timing signal is received from the inverter control unit and in asynchronous mode when the timing signal is not received from the inverter control unit (fig. 4, pages 4-5, [0104] – [0105]); and

and a lamp (fig. 6(800)) which is operated at a relevant frequency according to the operation mode of the inverter (fig. 3, page 5, [0105] – [0107]).

As to claims 2, 5, and 12, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the timing signal is a gate select signal or data clock signal (fig. 3, page 4, [0093], [0096] – [0097]).

As to claims 3, 6, and 13, Lee et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device wherein the timing signal is a vertical or horizontal synchronous signal (fig. 4, pages 4-5, [0104] – [0105]).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 7, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the mode setting unit is included in the timing controller (page 1, [0020], pages 4-5, [0100] – [0102], [0105]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9–11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 2002/0057247) in view of Park (US 20020130830).

As to claim 9, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device with an OCD (Optical Compensated Birefringency) mode (page 3, [0097]). He did not teach moving image or still image mode. Park discloses LCD having a driving method of moving picture and still-image mode (page 1, [0010] – [0011], page 5, [0077] – [0078]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to combine Park's method with the invention of Lee et al. to adapt a method wherein the display mode is either moving-image or still-image mode.

As to claim 10, Park teaches a method wherein the steps of outputting a first level control signal when the display mode is the moving-image mode, or outputting a second level control signal when the display mode is the still-image mode (page 4, [0070] – [0071], page 5, [0077] – [0078]).

As to claim 11, Park discloses a method wherein the steps of outputting the timing signal received from the outside when the second level control signal is applied, or not outputting the timing signal received from the outside when the second level control signal is applied (page 5, [0077] – [0078], [0080]).

As to claims 14 and 15, Park teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the display mode is either a moving-image or a still-image mode (page 1, [0010]).

As to claim 16, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal (page 5, [0105]).

Lee et al. does not explicitly teach wheather the display mode is the still-image mode when the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal.

However, it would be obvious for a liquid crystal display device wherein the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal when the display mode is the still-image mode.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on **August 8, 2007** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to claim 4, the Applicant argues that Lee does not teach a mode setting unit outputs a control signal to an inverter controller unit. Lee et al. teaches a mode setting unit outputs a control signal (see fig.6 (400, 520) in Lee et al.). But "a mode setting unit outputs a control signal to an inverter controller unit" was never claimed before.

Applicant argues that Lee does not disclose "an inverter which is operated in synchronous mode when the timing signal is received from the inverter control unit, and in asynchronous mode when the timing signal is not received from the inverter control unit." This is newly amended and addressed in the claim rejection.

Applicant also argues that Lee fails to teach, "selectively controls the frequency at which the backlight display is driven." This was never claimed before.

Applicant argues that Park does not teach, "controlling the driving frequency" or "synchronous or asynchronous mode of driving of the backlight display." The Examiner respectively disagrees with Applicant's statement. First, Park is cited to teach the claim limitations of 9, that is "display mode is moving-image or stilling-image." Second, the above Applicant's argument was not recited in claims 9-12. Therefore, the agreement is not valid.

Art Unit: 2629

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Afroza Y. Chowdhury whose telephone number is 571-270-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST, 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on 571-272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC

9/26/2007


AMARE MENGISTU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER